

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/902,651	07/12/2001	Hiroyuki Nakane	77670/495	2816
King L. Wong	7590 04/07/201 Fso	0	EXAM	IINER
Kenyon & Kenyon LLP 1500 K St. N.W. Suite 700 Washington, DC 20005			STEADMAN, DAVID J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/07/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/902,651	NAKANE ET AL.		
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	David J. Steadman	1656		
All Participants:	articipants: Status of Application: <u>response filed 2/16/10</u>			
(1) <u>David J. Steadman</u> .	(3)			
(2) King L. Wong.	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>1 April 2010</u>	Time: approximately 10 All	1		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Skhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)			
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: NONE				
Claims discussed:				
Prior art documents discussed: NONE				
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE The examiner discussed a proposed amendment to claim 1 to e the claimed polypeptide. The examiner also noted that a supple allowed to issue. In order that applicant may consider the propo- was agreed that a final rejection would be forthcoming noting es Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa	dif the claim to improve claim form mental reissue declaration is requil sed amendment and submit a supp such of the issues discussed during record of the substance of the te examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	without changing the scope of red before the application can be period before the application can be plemental relssue declaration, if the interview, interview, since the interview an summary of the substance interview, since the interview		
/David J. Steadman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1656 (Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)		